

To: Owens, Kim[Owens.Kim@epa.gov]
From: Curtin, James
Sent: Wed 8/28/2013 2:03:56 AM
Subject: RE: tomorrow's meeting

Kim,

I'm sorry the Tribe and Ecology are being so difficult about this. I'll call in at 10 am pacific (1pm eastern) for the EPA-Tribe meeting, if that's what happens. Sounds like there is a separate need for an EPA-Tribe-Ecology meeting to figure out how to get back on track.

Jim

Jim Curtin

USEPA Office of General Counsel

Water Law Office

202-564-5482

Ariel Rios North Rm. 7451

From: Owens, Kim
Sent: Tuesday, August 27, 2013 6:29 PM
To: Curtin, James
Subject: FW: tomorrow's meeting

Hi Jim – See below – it unfortunately appears that we are at an impasse. Dan Opalski would like to proceed with an EPA-Kalispel only meeting. In that case, Deane is fine having anyone attend and was anticipating that you would be part of it. The current plan is to have me attend in person, with the option – and it's totally up to you – for you to participate as well. It may be helpful for you to hear firsthand the Tribe's responses to our technical analyses, and the Tribe may appreciate having a greater HQ presence in the discussion. No pressure, though...

From: Croxton, Dave
Sent: Tuesday, August 27, 2013 1:35 PM
To: Opalski, Dan
Cc: Psyk, Christine; Owens, Kim
Subject: tomorrow's meeting

Dan, Deane is unwilling to meet without Zach and Kelly unwilling to meet with Zach without his attorney. Deane could agree to the following for tomorrow's meeting:

1. Deane, Kelly, and Dan meet to discuss how to get the relationship building on-track and design of the next full-team meeting with technical and legal involvement.
2. EPA-Kalispel only meeting time to discuss Helen's analysis, HQ findings, etc.

I would still need to check with Kelly to see if he would agree to #1.

Is that worth salvaging for tomorrow or do you think we should just reschedule. Jim Keating is in-flight for Seattle now.